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## Introduction

I would like to thank the AACTM for inviting me to give the 2011 Lewis-Parker Lecture. This paper, which is based on the lecture, revisits some basic ideas and results about the Möbius group and Bergman metric on the unit disk, and discusses the theory of atomic decomposition and some applications. We refer the reader to the references listed at the end of this paper for more information.

## Möbius group

Denote the complex plane by $\mathbb{C}$ and the extended complex plane by $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. A Möbius transformation of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rational function of the form

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}
$$

with coefficients $a, b, c$ and $d$ in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $a d-b c \neq 0$.
It is standard that if $\varphi(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}$ is a Möbius transformation, then $\varphi^{-1}(z)=\frac{d z-b}{-c z+a}$ is also a Möbius transformation, and

1. $\varphi$ is one-to-one and onto;
2. $\varphi$ is the composition of translation, dilation, and the inversion;
3. $\varphi$ maps circles to circles.

Under the composition, the set of all Möbius transformations forms a group, called the Möbius group.

As an example of Möbius transformations map circles to circles, we check the image of the circle $\left|z-z_{0}\right|=r(r>0)$, under the inversion $w=\varphi(z)=\frac{1}{z}$. Indeed, it is clear that the image is the circle $|w|=\frac{1}{r}$ if $z_{0}=0$. If $z_{0} \neq 0$, the image under $\varphi$ can be described by all $w \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{1}{w}-z_{0}\right|=r \Longleftrightarrow\left|1-z_{0} w\right|=r|w| \quad \text { or equivalently } \\
\begin{cases}1-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{0} w\right)=0, & \text { if }\left|z_{0}\right|=r \\
\left|w-\frac{z_{0}}{\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-r^{2}}\right|=\frac{r}{\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-r^{2} \mid}, & \text { if }\left|z_{0}\right| \neq r\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

The first equation above represents a line (circle with radius $\infty$ ), and the second equation is a circle with center $\frac{\overline{z_{0}}}{\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-r^{2}}$ and radius $\frac{r}{\left|\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-r^{2}\right|}$.

Denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the unit disk of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and by $\partial \mathbb{D}$ the unit circle, which is the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$. The Möbius group on the unit disk, denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, is the set of all conformal self-map on $\mathbb{D}$. There are infinitely many such conformal mappings. For example, for any fixed $a \in \mathbb{D}$, it is not hard to verify that the Möbius transformation

$$
\varphi_{a}(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}
$$

which interchanges 0 and $a$, maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself conformally, and maps $\partial \mathbb{D}$ to $\partial \mathbb{D}$. It is also easy to check that $\varphi_{a}^{-1}=\varphi_{a}$.

To characterize $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, we need the following lemma (see, for example, (Garnett 2007)).

Schwarz's Lemma. If an analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfies $f(0)=0$, then

$$
|f(z)| \leq|z|, \forall z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1
$$

Equality holds at some point $z$ or $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$ if and only if $f(z)=c z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $c$ a unit module constant.

Proof sketch: Define $g: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
g(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{f(z)}{z}, & \text { if } z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\} \\
f^{\prime}(0), & \text { if } z=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $g$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Using the Maximum Modulus Principle (see, for example, (Conway, 1973)), which says that if $f$ is an analytic function then the modulus $|f|$ cannot exhibit a true local maximum properly within the domain of $f$, we can conclude that

$$
|g(z)| \leq 1, \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and equality holds at some point inside $\mathbb{D}$ implies $|g(z)| \equiv 1$ in $\mathbb{D}$.

Schwarz's Lemma can be used to prove the following characterization of the Möbius group Aut( $\mathbb{D})$ :

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})=\left\{c \varphi_{a}: a \in \mathbb{D} \text { and } c \in \mathbb{C} \text { with }|c|=1\right\}
$$

In fact, we know from the above that $c \varphi_{a} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ if $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|c|=1$. Suppose $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$.

Then there is a point $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $f(a)=0$. Consider $F=f \circ \varphi_{a}^{-1}$ and $G=\varphi_{a} \circ f^{-1}$. Clearly $F, G \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $F(0)=G(0)=0$. Using Schwarz's Lemma, we have

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|G^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1
$$

On the other hand, $(G \circ F)(z)=z$ implies $G^{\prime}(0) F^{\prime}(0)=1$. Therefore we must have

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|=1 \text { and }\left|G^{\prime}(0)\right|=1
$$

Hence, by Schwarz's Lemma, $\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}^{-1}\right)(z)=F(z)=c z$, or equivalently $f=c \varphi_{a}$.

Möbius group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ has some interesting properties. For example, it easy easy to check that $\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{1-\bar{a} z}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2} & =1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}} \\
& =\left|\varphi_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \text {. } \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Bergman metric

Equation 0.1) suggests that the measure

$$
d s=\frac{|d z|}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. We refer this measure as Möbius invariant.

The hyperbolic length of a rectifiable arc $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$
\ell(\gamma)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{2\left|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right| d t}{1-|\gamma(t)|^{2}}
$$

The Bergman distance of two points $z$ and $w$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$
d(z, w)=\inf \{\ell(\gamma): \gamma([0,1]) \subset \mathbb{D}, \gamma(0)=z \text { and } \gamma(1)=w\}
$$

Clearly, the Bergman metric is Möbius invariant. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(z, w) & =d\left(0, \varphi_{z}(w)\right) \\
& =\inf \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2\left|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right| d t}{1-|\gamma(t)|^{2}} \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\left|\varphi_{z}(w)\right|} \frac{d r}{1-r^{2}} \\
& =\log \frac{1+\left|\varphi_{z}(w)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{z}(w)\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Bergman metric is so called because it is derived from the Bergman kernel (see, for example, (Krantz, 2001)).

In general, let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $K_{\Omega}(z, w)$ be the Bergman kernel on $\Omega$. Define a Hermitian metric by

$$
g_{i j}(z)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \overline{z_{j}}} \log K_{\Omega}(z, z), \quad z \in \Omega .
$$

The length of a rectifiable arc $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Omega$ is defined as

$$
\ell(\gamma)=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\sum_{i, j} g_{i j}(\gamma(t)) \frac{\partial \gamma_{i}(t)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \overline{\gamma_{j}(t)}}{\partial t}} d t
$$

We will see later that the Bergman kernel for the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ is

$$
K_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w)=\frac{1}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{2}}
$$

Therefore

$$
g(z)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Bergman metric is also referred as Poincaré metric in view of $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. For example, the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the associated metric tensor of the Poincare metric is given by

$$
d s^{2}=4 \frac{\sum_{j} d x_{j}^{2}}{\left(1-\sum_{j} x_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

For fixed $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t>0$, the Bergman disk of center $a$ and radius $t$ is defined by

$$
D(a, t)=\{w \in \mathbb{D}: d(w, a)<t\} .
$$

Since $d(w, a)<t$ is equivalent to

$$
\left|\varphi_{a}(w)\right|<\frac{e^{t}-1}{e^{t}+1}
$$

and $\varphi_{a}$ is a Möbius transformation, we conclude that $D(a, t)$ is also a Euclidean disk. The Euclidean center and radius of $D(a, t)$ can be easily calculated from the equation $\left|\varphi_{a}(w)\right|=\frac{e^{t}-1}{e^{t}+1}$. They are, respectively,

$$
\frac{1-r^{2}}{1-|a|^{2} r^{2}} a \quad \text { and } \quad r \frac{1-|a|^{2}}{1-|a|^{2} r^{2}}
$$

where $r=\frac{e^{t}-1}{e^{t}+1}$.
For a set $E$ in $\mathbb{D}$, denote the normalized area of $E$ by

$$
|E|=\int_{E} \frac{d x d y}{\pi} .
$$

For $t>0$, let $r=\frac{e^{t}-1}{\rho^{t}+1}$. The following result is standard (see, for example, Zhu 2007).

- $|D(a, t)|=r^{2} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-|a|^{2} r^{2}\right)^{2}}$;
$-\inf \{|1-\bar{a} z|: z \in D(a, t)\}=\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{(1-r|a|)^{2}}$;
- $\sup \{|1-\bar{a} z|: z \in D(a, t)\}=\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{(1+r|a|)^{2}}$;
- There exists a constant $C(t)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{1-\bar{a} z}{1-\bar{a} w}-1\right| \leq C(t) d(z, w)
$$

for all $a, z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $d(z, w) \leq t$.
We note that under the Bergman metric, the geodesics in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ are circular arcs in $\mathbb{D}$ orthogonal to $\partial \mathbb{D}$. If these arcs are called lines, then the parallel postulate is false. Therefore the Bergman metric on $\mathbb{D}$ provides a good model for Lobachevsky geometry.

The following figure illustrates the Bergman disks $D(a, t)$ with $t=1 / 2,1$ and 2 . It illustrates also geodesics passing through $a$ and 0 respectively.


## $\delta$-lattice in $\mathbb{D}$

For given $\delta>0$, a sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is called a $\delta$-lattice in the Bergman metric if $d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geq \delta / 5$ for $i \neq j$ and $\left\{D\left(z_{k}, \delta\right)\right\}$ covers $\mathbb{D}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{D}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)
$$

It is not hard to see that there exists an integer $M_{\delta}>0$ such that every point in $\mathbb{D}$ is covered by $\left\{D\left(z_{k}, \delta\right)\right\}$ at most $M$ times.

The following theorem, which is proved in (Coifman and Rochberg, 1980) (see also (Zhu, 2007)), says that a $\delta$-lattice always exists.

Theorem 1. For any $\delta>0$, there exists a $\delta$-lattice in $\mathbb{D}$.
Mathematical induction can be employed to prove above theorem. We provide here a constructive approach.

Let $z_{0,0}=0$. For any integer $k>0$, consider the Bergman circle $\gamma_{k}=\{z \in \mathbb{D}: d(z, 0)=k \delta / 5\}$. It is easy to compute

$$
\ell\left(\gamma_{k}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{2\left|\gamma_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t}{1-\left|\gamma_{k}(t)\right|^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi R_{k}}{1-R_{k}^{2}}
$$

Here $R_{k}=\frac{e^{k \delta / 5}-1}{e^{k \delta / 5}+1}$.
Since the Bergman length of the circle $\gamma_{k}$ is finite, there are finite many points $\left\{z_{k, j}: j=0,1, \cdots, J_{k}\right\}$ evenly spread
out on $\gamma_{k}$, such that the Bergman distance between any two points next to each other is a constant which is in $[\delta / 5,2 \delta / 5)$.

It is easy to see that for any integer $k \geq 0$, the smallest Bergman distance between points in $\left\{z_{k, j}: j=0,1, \cdots, J_{k}\right\}$ and points in $\left\{z_{k+1, j}: j=0,1, \cdots, J_{k+1}\right\}$ is within $[\delta / 5,3 \delta / 5)$. Therefore it can be seen that the set of the points $\left\{z_{k, j}: j=\right.$ $\left.0,1, \cdots, J_{k} ; k=0,1,2, \cdots\right\}$ is a $\delta$-lattice.

Given a $\delta$-lattice $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$. There is an associated decomposition of unity as in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For any $\delta$-lattice $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, there are $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ functions $\psi_{j}$ with $0 \leq \psi_{j}(z) \leq \psi\left(z_{j}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j} \subseteq$ $D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)$, such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_{j}(z) \equiv 1, \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

In fact, pick any $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ function $\xi$ such that $0 \leq \xi(z) \leq$ $\xi(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{supp} \xi=D(0, \delta)$. Let $\xi_{j}=\xi \circ \varphi_{z_{j}}$. Clearly $\operatorname{supp} \xi_{j}=D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right), \xi_{j} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{j}(z) \leq M_{\delta}, \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

It is easy to see now that

$$
\psi_{j}=\frac{\xi_{j}}{\sum_{k} \xi_{k}}, \quad j=1,2, \cdots
$$

is what needed.

## Bergman spaces

For $0<p<\infty$, Bergman space $A_{p}$ is the set of all analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} d A(z)<\infty .
$$

Here $d A(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} d x d y$. It is standard that $\mathcal{P}$, the set of all polynomials on $\mathbb{D}$, is dense in $A_{p}$.

It is easy to see that for $1 \leq p<\infty, A_{p}$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}$, is a Banach space. Since for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the point evaluation is a bounded linear functional of $A_{p}$, there exists a function $K_{z}$ such that

$$
f(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) \overline{K_{z}(w)} d A(w), \quad \forall f \in A_{p}
$$

The above formula is referred as the reproducing formula for Bergman space $A_{p}$.

It can be shown that $K_{\mathbb{D}}(w, z)=K_{z}(w)$, called the Bergman kernel on $\mathbb{D}$, has the expression

$$
K_{\mathbb{D}}(w, z)=\frac{1}{(1-\bar{z} w)^{2}} .
$$

Indeed, with $K_{\mathbb{D}}(w, z)$ we can verify the Bergman reproducing formula for all $f \in \mathcal{P}$ in the following. Write $f(z)=$ $\sum_{j \geq 0} f_{j} z^{j}$. Write

$$
K_{\mathbb{D}}(w, z)=\frac{1}{(1-\bar{z} w)^{2}}=\sum_{k \geq 0}(k+1) \bar{z}^{k} w^{k}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) \overline{K_{\mathbb{D}}(w, z)} d A(w) & =\sum_{j, k \geq 0}(k+1) f_{j} z^{k} \int_{\mathbb{D}} w^{j} \bar{w}^{k} d A(w) \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0}(j+1) f_{j} z^{j} \int_{\mathbb{D}}|w|^{2 j} d A(w) \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0} f_{j} z^{j} \\
& =f(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here are some useful properties (see, for example, (Zhu, 2007)).

- If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, then

$$
K_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w)=\overline{\varphi^{\prime}(z)} K_{\mathbb{D}}(\varphi(z), \varphi(w)) \varphi^{\prime}(w)
$$

- For $1<p<\infty$, the integral operator

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w)\left|K_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w)\right| d A(w)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{2}} d A(w)
$$

is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$.

## Atomic decomposition

In this section, $C$ and $c$ denote positive constants that may change from one step to the next. We say that two positive functions $a$ and $b$ are equivalent, denoted by $a \asymp b$, if there are two positive constants $c$ and $C$ such that $c a \leq b \leq C a$.

The following lemma plays an important role in the establishment of the atomic decomposition.

Schur's Lemma. Suppose $1<p<\infty$ and $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$. Suppose $Q(z, w)$ is a positive function on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. If there is a positive function $g$ on $\mathbb{D}$, such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} Q(z, w) g^{p^{\prime}}(w) d A(w) \leq C g^{p^{\prime}}(z)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} Q(w, z) g^{p}(w) d A(w) \leq C g^{p}(z)
$$

hold for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the linear map given by

$$
f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{D}} Q(z, w) f(w) d A(w)
$$

is a bounded map on $L^{p}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$.

Suppose $\alpha>-1$. With the Taylor series

$$
\frac{1}{(1-x)^{\alpha+2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\alpha+2)} x^{n}
$$

it is easy to check that for $f \in \mathcal{P}$, the following reproducing formula holds:

$$
f(z)=(\alpha+1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{\alpha+2}}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(w)
$$

In fact, the kernel $\frac{\alpha+1}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{\alpha+2}}$ is the Bergman kernel for the weighted Bergman space $A_{p}^{\alpha}$, which is the completion of $\mathcal{P}$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{D},\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(z)\right)$. Moreover, by Schur's lemma, one can prove that the map

$$
f \mapsto(\alpha+1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{|1-\bar{w} z|^{\alpha+2}}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(w)
$$

is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{D},\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(z)\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$.
The following theorem is proved in Coifman and Rochberg, 1980) under several variables setting. One can refer to (Rochberg, 1985) also.

Atomic Decomposition of $A_{p}^{\alpha}$. Suppose $0<p<\infty, \alpha>-1$ and $b>\max \left(1, \frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{\alpha+1}{p}$. There exists an $\delta_{0}>0$, such that for $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$ and any $\delta$-lattice $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, we have
(a) If $f \in A_{p}^{\alpha}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)^{b}} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{p}} \leq C\|f\|_{A_{p}^{\alpha}} .
$$

(b) For any $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\} \in \ell^{p}$, the function $f$, defined by (0.2), is in $A_{p}^{\alpha}$ and

$$
\|f\|_{A_{p}^{\alpha}} \leq C\left\|\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{p}}
$$

Proof sketch: The part (b) of the theorem is a consequence of Schur's lemma. For part (a), we consider the case of $p>1$ only.

Starting with the reproducing formula and using the decomposition of unity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =(b-1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{b}} d A(w) \\
& =(b-1) \sum_{j} \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)} \frac{f(w)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{b}} \psi_{j}(w) d A(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the integration over the disk $D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)$ above, if we replace the function $\frac{\left.f(w)(1-\mid w)^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{(1-\bar{w})^{b}}$ by $\frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)\left(1-\left.z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}}\right)^{b}}$, we obtain the following approximation for $f$ :

$$
A(f)(z)=\sum_{j} c_{j} \frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right)^{b}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{j}=(b-1) \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)} \psi_{j}(w) d A(w) \\
\leq c\left|D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)\right| \asymp\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \cdots .
\end{gathered}
$$

Denote $\Lambda(f)=\left\{\lambda_{j}(f)\right\}$, with $\lambda_{j}(f)=c_{j}(1-$ $\left.\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{p}-2} f\left(z_{j}\right)$. We can see that the linear operator $\Lambda$ is bounded from $A_{p}^{\alpha}$ to $\ell^{p}$. Indeed, since the mean value property for analytic functions implies

$$
\left|f\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{p} \leq \frac{c}{\left|D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)\right|} \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)}|f(w)|^{p} d A(w),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda(f)\|_{\ell^{p}}^{p} & \leq c \sum_{J}\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{2+\alpha}\left|f\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{p} \\
& \leq c \sum_{j} \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)}|f(w)|^{p}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(w) \\
& \leq c M_{\delta}\|f\|_{A_{p}^{\alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write

$$
A(f)(z)=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}(f) \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right)^{b}}
$$

Let's look at the error of the approximation of $f$ by $A(f)$.

This is the part (a) of the atomic decomposition theorem.
Atomic decomposition can be established for many analytic function spaces, such as

- Besov spaces $B_{p}(p>1)$ :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2} d A(z)<\infty
$$

- Bloch space $B$ :

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

- BMOA or $Q_{s}(0<s \leq 1)$ spaces $\left(\mathrm{BMOA}=Q_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\|f\|_{Q_{s}}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(\log \frac{1}{\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|}\right)^{s} d A(z)<\infty
$$

Atomic decomposition for BMOA was established by Rochberg and Semmes (1986). As a generalization of BMOA space, $Q_{s}$ space was first introduced in (Aulaskari and Lappan, 1994; Aulaskari et al. 1995) (see also (Xiao, 2001)). It was proved in (Wu and Xie, 2003) that $Q_{s}$ space is a potential space of Morry space.

To end this paper we introduce the following atomic decomposition theorem for $Q_{s}$ spaces which was proved in $(\mathrm{Wu}$ and Xie, 2002).

The Carleson square in $\mathbb{D}$, based on an $\operatorname{arc} I$, is defined by

$$
S(I)=\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|z|>1-|I| \text { and } z /|z| \in I\} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(z)-A(f)(z)| \\
& \quad \leq \quad c \sum_{j} \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)}\left|\frac{f(w)\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{b}}-\frac{f\left(z_{j}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right)^{b}}\right| \psi_{j}(w) d A(w) \\
& \quad \leq \quad c \sum_{j} \frac{1}{\left|1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right|^{b}} \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)}\left|f(w)-f\left(z_{j}\right)\right|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-2} d A(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+c \sum_{j} \int_{D\left(z_{j}, \delta\right)}|f(w)|\left|\frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{b}}-\frac{\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-2}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right)^{b}}\right| d A\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { Atomic Decomposition of } Q_{s} . \text { Suppose } 0<s \leq 1 \text { and } \\
\text { b>y } \frac{1+s}{2} \text {. There exists an } \delta_{0}>0 \text {, such that for } 0<\delta<\delta_{0} \text { and } \\
\text { any } \delta \text {-lattice }\left\{z_{j}\right\} \text { in } \mathbb{D} \text {, we have }
\end{array}\right.
$$

By properties of Bergman kernel, properties of Bergman spaces and Schur's Lemma, we can prove, from the above estimate, that

$$
\|f-A(f)\|_{A_{p}^{\alpha}} \leq C \delta\|f\|_{A_{p}^{\alpha}}, \quad \forall f \in A_{p}^{\alpha}
$$

It is clear that we can choose $\delta>0$ small, say $\delta<\frac{1}{2 C}$, so that the linear operator $A$ is invertible and that

$$
A^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(I_{d}-A\right)^{n}
$$

is bounded (on $A_{p}^{\alpha}$ ).
For any $f \in A_{p}^{\alpha}$, we have $A^{-1}(f) \in A_{p}^{\alpha}$ and therefore $\Lambda\left(A^{-1}(f)\right) \in \ell^{p}$. We can write

$$
f(z)=A\left(A^{-1}(f)\right)(z)=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}\left(A^{-1}(f)\right) \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-\frac{2+\alpha}{p}}}{\left(1-\overline{z_{j}} z\right)^{b}}
$$



Carleson square in $\mathbb{D}$ based on an $\operatorname{arc} I$
(a) If $f \in Q_{s}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \frac{\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{b-\frac{s}{2}}}{\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)^{b}} \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\sum_{j: z_{j} \in S(I)}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}}{|I|^{s}} \leq C\|f\|_{Q_{s}}
$$

(b) For any $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ with $\left.\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\sum_{j: z j} \in S(I)}{}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \infty$, the function $f$, defined by (0.3), is in $Q_{s}$ and

$$
\|f\|_{Q_{s}} \leq C \sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\sum_{j: z_{j} \in S(I)}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}}{|I|^{s}}
$$

Above theorem can be proved by establishing the estimate

$$
\|f-A(f)\|_{Q_{s}} \leq C \delta\|f\|_{Q_{s}}, \quad \forall f \in Q_{s}
$$

Schur's type estimate and Carleson measures are needed, and we refer the reader to (Wu and Xie, 2002) for details.
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