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In this article, we construct a new cryptosystem by an elementary improvement on the famous Modified Rivest Encryption. This improvement allows us to build up a stronger system. We deceive the attackers by using a mod value other than the public key.

## Introduction

The privacy homomorphism idea was first introduced in 1978 by Rivest, Adleman and Dertouzos in Rivest, Adleman, and Dertouzos (1978). In 1982, S. Goldwasser and S. Micali established Goldwasser-Micali cryptosystem Goldwasser and Micali (1982), and a generalization of this system, Pailler cryptosystem Pailler (1999), developed in 1999. In these cryptosystems, two operations were considered for homomorphism, addition and multiplication. Some cryptosystems are homomorphic according to a single operation. The famous well-known RSA and El-Gamal cryptosystems are homomorphic according to only multiplication, see Silverberg (2013). On the other hand, Pailler cryptosystem is only homomorphic according to addition. None of these cryptosystems provide the feature of being homomorphic with respect to two operations. They are homomorphic only for one operation, only addition or only multiplication.

The first fully homomorphic encryption scheme, homomorphic with respect to both addition and multiplication, was built by Gentry in 2009, see Gentry (2009). By this breakthrough result, homomorphic encryption gained its popularity again.

However, especially in electronic voting, additional homomorphic encryption schemes are systems of interest and sufficient for application. In Rivest et al. (1978), authors also introduced Modified Rivest Scheme which is homomorphic with respect to addition and scalar multiplication, and hence, this scheme is very useful for electronic voting. However, in Vizar and Vaudenay (2014), authors broke the Modified Rivest Scheme.

In this paper, we construct a homomorphic symmetric key encryption scheme similar to Modified Rivest Scheme by an

[^0]elementary improvement. We also show that our scheme is homomorphic according to addition and scalar multiplication as well. After this elementary modification, we obtain a more secure scheme than Modified Rivest. Our modification depends on the usage of two different mod values, which will clearly mislead the attacker.

## Modified Rivest Scheme

The algorithm is as follows:

## Keygen:

1. Choose two large prime $p$ and $q$ numbers, each almost same size.
2. Compute $n=p q$.
3. Choose two vectors $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\right)^{k}$ and $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}\right)^{k}$.
4. Public key is $n$, and secret key is ( $p, q, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}$ ).

## Encryption:

1. Choose a vector $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} \equiv x(\bmod n)$, $x$ being the plaintext.
2. Compute $\left(c_{i}, c_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(r_{i} x_{i}(\bmod p), s_{i} x_{i}(\bmod q)\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.
3. Ciphertext is $\mathbf{c}=\left(\left(c_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{k}, c_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## Decryption:

1. Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to compute the systems of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i} & \equiv r_{i}^{-1} c_{i}(\bmod p) \\
x_{i} & \equiv s_{i}^{-1} c_{i}^{\prime}(\bmod q) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The plaintext is $x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}(\bmod n)$.

## Our Scheme

The algorithm is as follows:

## Keygen:

1. Choose two large random positive integers $l$ and $m$ with a large greatest common divisor, each almost same size.
2. Compute $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)=a$.
3. Compute $n=l m$.
4. Compute $\bar{n}=\frac{n}{a^{2}}$. Define $\bar{l}=\frac{l}{a}$, and $\bar{m}=\frac{m}{a}$.
5. Choose two vectors $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{l}^{*}\right)^{k}$ and $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{*}\right)^{k}$.
6. Public key is $n$, and secret key is $(l, m, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$.

## Encryption:

1. Compute $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)=a, \bar{n}=\frac{n}{a^{2}}$.
2. Choose a vector $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} \equiv x(\bmod \bar{n})$, $x$ being the plaintext.
3. Compute $\left(c_{i}, c_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(r_{i} x_{i}(\bmod l), s_{i} x_{i}(\bmod m)\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.
4. Ciphertext is $\mathbf{c}=\left(\left(c_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{k}, c_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## Decryption:

1. Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to compute the systems of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i} & \equiv r_{i}^{-1} c_{i}(\bmod \bar{l}) \\
x_{i} & \equiv s_{i}^{-1} c_{i}^{\prime}(\bmod \bar{m}) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The plaintext is $x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}(\bmod \bar{n})$.

Theorem 1. The algorithm given above works.
Proof. Note first that, $\bar{l}=\frac{l}{\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)}$, and $\bar{m}=\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)}$ are obviously relatively prime. Moreover, by the choice of $r_{i}$ 's and $s_{i}$ 's, $r_{i}^{-1}$ and $s_{i}^{-1}$ exist for every $i$. Hence for each $i$, we obtain the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i} & \equiv r_{i}^{-1} c_{i}(\bmod \bar{l}) \\
x_{i} & \equiv s_{i}^{-1} c_{i}^{\prime}(\bmod \bar{m})
\end{aligned}
$$

from $\left(c_{i}, c_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(r_{i} x_{i}(\bmod \bar{l}), s_{i} x_{i}(\bmod \bar{m})\right)$. By, Chinese Remainder Theorem, this system has a unique solution $x_{i}$ $(\bmod \bar{l} \bar{m})$, which is $x_{i}(\bmod \bar{n})$. So, we get $x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}$ $(\bmod \bar{n})$ our algorithm works correctly.

Next, we investigate the homomorphic properties of the scheme. As in Modified Rivest Scheme, our scheme is additional homomorphic. Moreover, although it is not multipicative homomorphic, it is homomorphic with respect to scalar multiplication. Here is our theorem.

Theorem 2. The scheme given above is homomorphic according to addition and scalar multiplication.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ be the ciphertexts of the plaintexts $x, y$ respectively. Now, $\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}=\left(\left(c_{1}+d_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime}+d_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{k}+d_{k}, c_{k}^{\prime}+d_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. If we decrypt $\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}$ by our algorithm, we will have the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{i} \equiv r_{i}^{-1}\left(c_{i}+d_{i}\right)(\bmod \bar{l}) \\
& z_{i} \equiv s_{i}^{-1}\left(c_{i}^{\prime}+d_{i}^{\prime}\right)(\bmod \bar{m}),
\end{aligned}
$$

by using Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have the unique solution $z_{i} \equiv x_{i}+y_{i}(\bmod \bar{n})$, since the systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i} & \equiv r_{i}^{-1} c_{i}(\bmod \bar{l}) \\
x_{i} & \equiv s_{i}^{-1} c_{i}^{\prime}(\bmod \bar{m}), \text { and } \\
y_{i} & \equiv r_{i}^{-1} d_{i}(\bmod \bar{l}) \\
y_{i} & \equiv s_{i}^{-1} d_{i}^{\prime}(\bmod \bar{m})
\end{aligned}
$$

has unique solutions $x_{i}(\bmod \bar{n})$ and $y_{i}(\bmod \bar{n})$, respectively.

Similarly, by using the basic properties of congruences, we show that $t \mathbf{c}$ has decryption $x$, where $t$ is an unencrypted constant in $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{n}}$.

## An Example of Our Scheme

## Keygen:

1. Choose two random positive integers $l=8$ and $m=$ 10.
2. Compute $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)=(8,10)=2=a$.
3. Compute $n=l m=8 \cdot 10=80$.
4. Compute $\bar{n}=\frac{n}{a^{2}}=20$. Define $\bar{l}=\frac{l}{a}=4$, and $\bar{m}=\frac{m}{a}=5$.
5. Choose two vectors $\mathbf{r}=(1,3) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{8}^{*}\right)^{2}$ and $\mathbf{s}=(7,9) \in$ $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{10}^{*}\right)^{2}$.
6. Public key is $n=80$, and secret key is $(l=8, m=$ $10, \mathbf{r}=(1,3), \mathbf{s}=(7,9))$.

## Encryption:

1. Compute $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)=a=2, \bar{n}=\frac{n}{a^{2}}=20$.
2. Let $x=5$ be the plaintext. Choose a vector $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=$ $(2,3)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i} \equiv x(\bmod 20)$,
3. Compute $\left(c_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(r_{1} x_{1}(\bmod 8)=1 \cdot 2=2\right.$ $\left.(\bmod 8), s_{1} x_{1}(\bmod 10)=7 \cdot 2=4(\bmod 10)\right)$ and $\left(c_{2}, c_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(r_{2} x_{2}(\bmod 8)=3 \cdot 3=1(\bmod 8), s_{2} x_{2}\right.$ $(\bmod 10)=9 \cdot 3=7(\bmod 10))$.
4. Ciphertext is $\mathbf{c}=((2,4),(1,7))$.

## Decryption:

1. Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to compute the systems of equations
$x_{1} \equiv r_{1}^{-1} c_{1}=1 \cdot 2=2(\bmod 4)$
$x_{1} \equiv s_{1}^{-1} c_{1}^{\prime}=3 \cdot 4=2(\bmod 5)$. So, if we solve this system, we obtain $x_{1} \equiv 2(\bmod 20)$. With the same idea, we obtain $x_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 20)$.
2. The plaintext is $x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i}(\bmod 20)=2+3=5$.

## Security

Security of the Modified Rivest Scheme depends on large integer factorization problem. But in Vizar and Vaudenay (2014), authors broke the scheme with a known-plaintext, key recovery attack. Their attack do not use factorization, instead, they break the scheme with a vector produced by solving a matrix equation. Also, they use public key $n$ while breaking the scheme. Here, it is clear that $n$ must be public because of the property of homomorphic encryption. However, in our scheme, we do decryption with respect to $\bmod \bar{n}$, whereas we do encryption in $\bmod n$. Moreover, the attacker does not know $\bar{n}$. Hence, if the attack in Vizar and Vaudenay (2014) applied to our algorithm, the attacker will obtain $a$ (chosen to be large) many plaintext instead of one. So, the attacker will not be sure about the correct one. Thus, security increases compared to the original scheme.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we establish a homomorphic symmetric key encryption scheme similar to Modified Rivest Scheme by an
elementary modification. Our scheme increases the security of the original scheme. Moreover, in Modified Rivest Scheme, encryption and decryption are done in public key $\bmod n$, however, we use $n$ for encryption and $\bar{n}$ for decryption to deceive the attackers. This usage of two different mod values is a new idea and makes this algorithm more stronger. Our schemes is very simple and useful for applications like electronic voting.
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